

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

CATHX RESEARCH LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

2G ROBOTICS, INC. a/k/a VOYIS
IMAGING, INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:21-CV-00025-RWS

ORDER

Before the Court are various motions to seal, including: (1) Defendant Voyis Imaging, Inc.'s Unopposed Omnibus Motion for Leave to File Under Seal (Docket No. 286); (2) Plaintiff Cathx Research Ltd.'s Unopposed Motion for Leave to Seal Cathx's Sur-Reply in Opposition to Voyis' Motion to Strike Portions of the Untimely Expert Report of Justin Blok (Docket No. 287); (3) Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Leave to Seal Plaintiff's Exhibit A to Their Sur-Reply in Opposition to Voyis' Motion to Strike Dr. Hudson's Source Code Opinions (Docket No. 289); (4) Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion For Leave To Seal Plaintiff's Sur-Reply In Opposition To Voyis' Motion To Strike Dr. Hudson's Source Code Opinions (Docket No. 290); (5) Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion For Leave To Seal Plaintiff's Sur-Reply In Opposition To Defendant's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment Re: Willful Infringement (Docket No. 293); (6) Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion For Leave To Seal Plaintiff's Reply In Support Of Its Motion For Summary Judgment (Docket No. 295); and (7) Defendant's Unopposed Motion For Leave To File Under Seal (Docket No. 302). Having considered the parties' motions, and because they are unopposed, the motions to seal are hereby **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions to seal (Docket Nos. 287, 289, 290, 293, 295) are **GRANTED**. It is further

ORDERED that Defendant's motions to seal (Docket Nos. 286, 302) are **GRANTED**. It is further

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Sur-Reply in Opposition to Voyis's Motion to Strike Portions of the Untimely Expert Report of Justin Blok (Docket No. 288), Plaintiff's Exhibit A to Their Sur-Reply in Opposition to Voyis' Motion to Strike Dr. Hudson's Source Code Opinions (Docket No. 291-1), Plaintiff's Sur-Reply in Opposition to Voyis's Motion to Strike Dr. Hudson's Source Code Opinions (Docket No. 291), Plaintiff's Sur-Reply in Opposition to Defendant's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment Re: Willful Infringement (Docket No. 294), and Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Its Motion For Summary Judgment (Docket No. 296) shall be filed under seal. It is further

ORDERED that Defendant's Sur-Reply to Cathx's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Vijay Madisetti, Ph.D. on Objective Indicia (Docket No. 285), Defendant's Sur-Reply to Cathx's Motion to Exclude Portions of Dr. Matthew Johnson-Roberson's Testimony (Docket No. 283), Defendant's Sur-reply to Cathx's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Myles D. Kaluzna on Damages (Docket No. 284), and Defendant's Reply in Support of Its Motion Summary Judgment of Noninfringement and Exhibit A (Docket No. 301) shall be filed under seal.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 8th day of November, 2023.


ROBERT W. SCHROEDER III
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE